

FLORA AND FAUNA

2015 Vol. 21 No. 1 PP 67-70

ISSN 0971 - 6920

PHYTOPLANKTON DIVERSITY OF GHARNI RESERVOIR IN LATUR DISTRICT, MAHARASHTRA

V.B. SAKHARE AND A.D. CHALAK

Department of Zoology,
Yogeshwari Mahavidyalaya,
AMBAJOGAI-431517

E-Mail: vbsakhare@rediffmail.com

Received : 29.1.15; **Accepted** : 19.3.15

ABSTRACT

The phytoplanktonic samples were collected from the Gharni reservoir of Latur district (Maharashtra) for a period of one year (from June 2013 to May 2014). Total 18 species of phytoplankton belonging to different taxonomic groups were identified. Among these 7 species belong to Chlorophyceae, 5 species to Cyanophyceae, 3 species to Bacillariophyceae and 3 species to Euglenophyceae. The phytoplankton productivity fluctuated seasonally and the maximum number of 560 units/liter was recorded during month of February and March and minimum number of 95 units/liter during the month of September.

Figure : 01

References : 09

Table : 01

KEY WORDS : Gharni reservoir, Maharashtra, Phytoplankton diversity, Seasonal variations.

Introduction

Phytoplankton, the major primary producer in an aquatic food chain is grazed by zooplankton, constitute an important link in energy flow. They fix solar energy and convert it into chemical energy which is transformed from one level to another level of the food chain. By photosynthetic activity phytoplanktons re-oxygenate the waters in which they are growing⁹. Keeping these points in view, a study was undertaken to find phytoplankton diversity in the Gharni reservoir of Latur district, Maharashtra.

The Gharni reservoir was impounded on river Gharni in Shirur Anantpal tehsil of Latur district. The reservoir is located at latitude 18°22'30" and longitude 76°49'15". The total water spread area of reservoir is 949 ha at FRL with a catchment area of 243.66 sq.km. The gross storage capacity of the reservoir is 25.9 mm³.

Materials and Methods

Phytoplankton collection was made towing a net made-up of bolting silk Net No. 25 for five minutes. Sedimentation of phytoplankton was made in 5% formaldehyde. Algal monographs^{2,5,7} were followed to identify the phytoplankton. Drop count method⁸ was followed for enumeration of

phytoplankton and expressed as units per liter.

Results and Discussion

Phytoplankton community of Gharni reservoir comprised of 18 species of which 7 belong to Chlorophyceae, 5 to Cyanophyceae, 3 to Bacillariophyceae and 3 to Euglenophyceae. The phytoplankton productivity fluctuated seasonally and the maximum number of 560 units/Liter was recorded during the month of February and March and minimum number of 95 units/Liter during the month of September (Table 1). During the present investigation, the phytoplankton consisted of 41.57% of Chlorophyceae, 33.61% of Cyanophyceae, 16.16% of Bacillariophyceae and 8.66% of Euglenophyceae (Fig.1).

In case of Chlorophyceae, *Scenedesmus armatus*, *Oedogonium patulum* and *Ankistrodesmus falcatus* were recorded in all months. Their number was high in summer and low in monsoon season. *Chlorella vulgaris* was not seen in rainy season. Similarly *Cosmarium contractum* was also absent in three months of rainy season. *Zygnema sp* and *Pediastrum duplex* were not recorded in the month of September. The density of this group ranged from 15 units/Liter

TABLE-1: Monthly analysis of phytoplankton diversity in Gharni Reservoir, Maharashtra

Species/Month	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
Bacillariophyceae												
<i>Navicula gracilis</i>	10	10	05	10	10	15	20	20	30	25	25	20
<i>Nitzschia subtilis</i>	05	05	00	10	15	20	25	25	35	35	30	30
<i>Bacillaria paradoxa</i>	10	05	05	15	20	25	30	35	35	30	25	20
Total	25	20	10	35	45	60	75	80	100	90	80	70
Chlorophyceae												
<i>Zygnema sp</i>	15	20	15	00	10	15	20	25	25	35	35	30
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i>	05	15	15	00	15	20	20	25	25	35	35	35
<i>Scendesmus armatus</i>	20	20	10	05	25	25	25	30	35	30	35	35
<i>Oedogonium patulum</i>	25	20	10	05	30	25	30	35	35	40	35	30
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i>	20	20	10	05	15	20	20	25	30	30	35	35
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	00	00	00	00	10	20	15	25	35	35	35	30
<i>Cosmarium contractum</i>	10	00	00	00	15	15	20	25	30	30	30	25
Total	95	95	60	15	120	140	150	190	215	235	240	220
Cyanophyceae												
<i>Oscillatoria limnosa</i>	15	10	00	10	15	20	25	20	35	30	35	35
<i>Oscillatoria chlorine</i>	20	10	00	00	20	20	25	25	30	30	30	30
<i>Anabaena constricta</i>	25	20	20	05	20	15	35	35	45	45	40	35
<i>Merismopedia punctata</i>	20	15	20	15	25	25	30	35	40	35	35	35
<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	10	15	00	10	15	25	30	35	40	35	30	30
Total	90	70	40	40	95	105	145	150	190	175	170	165
Euglenophyceae												
<i>Euglena pisciformis</i>	00	00	10	00	15	15	20	20	35	35	20	30
<i>Euglena viridis</i>	10	05	05	05	10	10	15	10	15	20	20	15
<i>Euglena stellata</i>	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	05	05	10	10
Total	10	05	15	05	25	25	35	30	55	60	50	55




Fig. 1 : Quantitative occurrence of phytoplankton in Gharni Reservoir (2013-14)

(September) to 240 units/Liter (April) with annual average of 148 units/Liter.

The class Cyanophyceae was represented by five species, out of which two species (*Anabaena constricta* and *Merismopedia punctata*) were seen throughout the investigation. *Oscillatoria limnosa* and *Microcystis aeruginosa* were absent in August. *Oscillatoria chlorina* was absent in two months of rainy season i.e., August and September. The density of this group ranged from 40 units/Liter (August and September) to 190 units/Liter (February).

Among Bacillariophyceae, *Navicula gracilis* and *Bacillaria paradoxa* were recorded throughout the study period, while *Nitzschia subtilis* was absent in one month i.e., August. The density of Bacillariophyceae ranged from 10 units/liter to 100 units/Liter. It was maximum in February and minimum in August. The annual average of this group was 57 units/Liter.

In case of Euglenophyceae three species were recorded, out of which only one i.e., *Euglena*

viridis was recorded throughout the third year of investigation. *Euglena stellata* was not seen in monsoon and winter season. *Euglena pisciformis* was absent in three months of rainy season. The density of this group fluctuated from 5 units/Liter to 60 units/Liter with annual average of 31 units/Liter.

Summer is the most suitable season for the growth of phytoplankton in freshwater lakes because of long duration of sunshine period, increased salinity and pH. Individual phytoplankton population dynamics clearly indicated that all groups showed maxima in summer with increasing temperature. Summer peak in total phytoplankton population was due to higher temperature¹. Decline in phytoplankton population in monsoon was a result of flushing due to incessant rain in regions which also hamper light penetration decreasing photosynthesis¹. Similar opinion was proposed by various workers who suggested that the heavy rainfall, over flooding, dilution and turbidity were the factors responsible for the reduced population of phytoplankton during monsoon season despite of increased nutrient levels during the period^{3,4,7}.

References

1. CHANDRAKIRAN, SHARMA, K.K. AND SHARMA, R. (2014) Phytoplankton community response to changing physico-chemical environment of subtropical lake Mansar, India. *Int. J. Biosci.* **4** (11):95-103.
2. DESIKACHARY, T.V. (1959) Cyanophyta, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, p.1-689.
3. KUMAR, A. AND GUPTA, H.P. (2002) Ecobiodiversity of aquatic biota in certain freshwater ecosystems of Santhal Paraganas (Jharkhand), India. In: Ecology and Ethology of Aquatic Biota. Kumar, A. (Ed.),

- Daya Publishing House, Delhi, India,1-69.
4. LASKAR, H.S. AND GUPTA, S. (2013) Phytoplankton community and limnology of Chatla floodplain wetland of Barak Valley, Assam, North-East India-Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems, **411**, 1-14.
 5. PRESCOTT, G.W. (1982) algae of the Western Great Lakes Area. Science Publishers, New Delhi.
 6. SCOTT, A.M. AND PRESCOTT, G.W. (1961) Indonesian Desmids.*Hydrobiologia*. **17** :132
 7. TRIPATHI, A.K. AND PANDEY, S.N. (1990) Water Pollution, Ashish Publishing House, New Delhi, 325p.
 8. TRIVEDI, R.K., AND GOEL, P.K. (1984) Chemical and Biological Methods for Water Pollution Studies. Environmental Publication, Karad (India).
 9. VENKATESWARALU, V. (2006) Algae in aquatic environments. *Indian Hydrobiol.* **9** (1):1-6.