

An ecological enumeration of pteridophytic flora from Pithoragarh, Central Himalayan province (U.K.) India

Shailaja Verma and *Kamlesh Kumar Bhakuni

Department of Botany,
Laxman Singh Mahar Government Post Graduate College Pithoragarh,
PITHORAGARH (UK) INDIA

*Corresponding Author

E-mail : kamal.bot2011.pth@gmail.com

Received : 11.08.2022; **Accepted** : 08.09.2022

ABSTRACT

Terrestrial ecological survey, preparation of comprehensive checklist, soil analysis in relation to fern diversity and growth were the main objectives of the study in Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand. Classification, taxonomy, identification, nomenclature, and conservation status of Pteridophytes were done by autistic, viable and suitable literature. Soil analysis was done by a specific given protocol separately. In our study, a total of 17 families, 27 genera, and 37 species of Pteridophyta were recorded. Various types of habitat for this flora were noticed, including Lithophytes, moist places, Mesophyll, forest floor, and epiphyte. The average soil pH was 6.7, organic carbon was 1.923%, nitrogen was 0.406%, phosphorus was 3.40 ppm and potassium was 31.33 ppm, all recorded at the site of study. Most species were noticed as common in all the spots, but *Pteridium aquilinum* and *Christella arida* were the main rare species in the area.

Figure : 01

References : 31

Tables : 03

KEY WORDS : Biodiversity, Ecology, Himalayan flora, Pteridophyte

Introduction

The term "pteridophyte" originated in Greek literature. Because "Pteron" refers to a feather and "Phyton" refers to plants, Pteridophyte plants have feather-like fronds (leaves). Pteridophytes generally grow in shady, moist habitats¹⁶. Pteridophytes are cryptogams. Kryptos means hidden and Gammos means weeded or marriage in Greek, so these plants have invisible or hidden sex organs⁵. Pteridophytes have a lengthy geological history on Earth, dating back to the Silurian epoch, 380 million years ago. This broad collection of plants served as a link between non-vascular cryptogams and seed plants and they still occupy a variety of niches on land, in marshes, swamps, and even in bodies of water⁷.

Some fern species grow on soil and on rocks, whereas others are restricted to rocky environments and may grow as epiphytes in tropical rain forests². Ferns are an important aspect of a given area's vegetation. Pteridophytes are well recognised for their therapeutic properties and many of them have been used for this purpose since antiquity¹⁹. Pteridophytes are highly affected by changes in their microclimate, which means

they have their own specific temperature, humidity, soil type, moisture and pH, light levels, etc. Through ecological study of any area, we get an idea of its flora and its interaction with different factors of the environment, which forms the basis of information about the distribution of plant communities and their involvement with the external environment².

Various research in the past were done in the various aspects of ecological study of Pteridophyta^{1,3,4,6,8,12,15,23,25,29,30}. Due to their unique morphology and existence as non-seed-bearing and seed-bearing plants, pteridophytes contribute to enhancing the richness of biodiversity. This place is a remote area, which is why it's very difficult to visit and has significant value from a biodiversity and ecological point of view. There was a literature gap, especially on this research topic in this area, so aim of the study was to fill the literature gap. The study's main goals were to conduct a terrestrial ecological survey, create a comprehensive checklist, and analyse soil in relation to fern diversity and growth.

Methodology

The main place of study was the Indo-Nepal border

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT : The authors are grateful to the department of Botany, L.S.M. Government Post Graduate College Pithoragarh for technical support and laboratories facility.

TABLE-1: List of Pteridophyta flora, comparative account of habitat, distribution and status

S. No.	Family	Genus	Species	Habitat	Distribution range 1550 to 1620 m	Conservation Status
1.	Seleginelleceae	<i>Seleginella</i>	<i>biopteria</i>	Moist place	1600m	comman
2.	Equisetaceae	<i>Equicetum</i>	<i>diffusum</i>	Moist place	1550m	comman
3.	Botraychiaceae	<i>Botrychium</i>	<i>lanuginosum</i>	Moist place	1600m	common
4.	Glecheniaceae	<i>Dicranopteris</i>	<i>linearis</i>	Forsest floor	1600m	comman
5.	Lygodiaceae	<i>Lygodium</i>	<i>flexuosum</i>	Epiphyte	1570m	Comman
6.	Dennstaedtiaceae	<i>Microlepia</i>	<i>strigosa</i>	Forest floor	1570m	Common
7.	Pteridiaceae	<i>Pteridium</i>	<i>aquilinum</i>	Mesophyll	1620m	Rare
8.	Pteridaceae	<i>Cheilanthes</i>	<i>bicolor</i>	Moist place	1600m	Comman
			<i>rufa</i>	Lithophytes	1550m	Common
		<i>Pteris</i>	<i>aspercaulis</i>	Mesophyte	1560m	Common
			<i>biaurita</i>	Mesophyte	1610m	Common
			<i>vittata</i>	Forest floor	1650m	Common
			<i>wallichiana</i>	Mesophyte	1600m	Common
<i>Onychium</i>	<i>japonicum</i>	Moist place	1570m	Common		
9.	Heminiotidaceae	<i>Coniogramme</i>	<i>caudata</i>	Forest floor	1570m	Common
		<i>Gymnopteris</i>	<i>vestita</i>	Lithophytes	1620m	Common
10.	Aspleniaceae	<i>Asplenium</i>	<i>dalhousiae</i>	Lithophytes	1560m	Common
11.	Athyriaceae	<i>Athyrium</i>	<i>davidii</i>	Lithophytes	1610m	Rare
		<i>Deparia</i>	<i>japonica</i>	Moist place	1650m	Common
			<i>boryana</i>	Moist place	1600m	Common
		<i>Diplazium</i>	<i>esculentum</i>	Mesophyll	1550m	Cultivated
			<i>polypodioides</i>	Forest floor	1600m	Common

12.	Thelypteridaceae	<i>Christella</i>	<i>arida</i>	Forest flore	1600m	Rare
			<i>dentata</i>	Moist place	1600m	Common
		<i>Glaphyopteridopsis</i>	<i>erubescens</i>	Moist place	1550m	Common
13.	Dryopteridaceae	<i>Dryopteris</i>	<i>chochleata</i>	Forest floor	1560m	Common
			<i>juxtaposita</i>	Forest floor	1550m	Common
			<i>sparsa</i>	Moist place	1600m	Rare
		<i>Polystichum</i>	<i>lentum</i>	Lithophyte	1600m	Common
			<i>squarrosum</i>	Forest floor	1550m	Common
14.	Blechnaceae	<i>Woodwardia</i>	<i>unigemmata</i>	Moist place	1560m	Common
15.	Nephrolepidaceae	<i>Nephrolepis</i>	<i>auriculata</i>	Mesophyll	1560m	common
16.	Polypodiaceae	<i>Arthomeris</i>	<i>wallichiana</i>	Lithophytes	1600m	common
		<i>Lepisorus</i>	<i>nudis</i>	Forest floor	1550m	Common
		<i>Micresorium</i>	<i>membrana- ceum</i>	Epiphyte	1600m	common
		<i>Pyrrisia</i>	<i>flocculosa</i>	Epiphyte	1600m	common
17.	Hypodematiaceae	<i>Hypodematium</i>	<i>crenatum</i>	Lithophytes	1600m	Common

district of Pithoragarh (29.66560 N to 80.14890 E), as this area was one of the most disaster prone zones in Uttarakhand state. Samples were collected during a period of one year by the quadrat method of 10X10 metres. The five main aspects of the study were the systematic study of fauna, statistical analysis, soil analysis, faunal habitat determination and conservation status of the fauna.

Systematics included classification, taxonomy, identification and nomenclature. That was basically done with the help of suitable literature^{8-10,16,21}. Biostatistical analysis like frequency, density and abundance of the flora was done by various specific formulas in MS Excel data software tools. Six soil parameters were analysed

using various methods, including water holding capacity²², nitrogen estimation¹⁷, phosphorus estimation, potassium estimation²⁴, organic carbon estimation³¹ and soil pH was measured using a digital pH meter. Habitat determination of the flora was done by observation and verified by the available literature^{8,11,20}. To know the conservation status, rare and endangered species were identified by referring to the Red Data Book of India, following the IUCN Red List of plants¹³ and with the help of other available literature.

Results

In our study, a total of 17 families, 27 genera, and 37 species of Pteridophyta were recorded. Table-1 and

TABLE-2: Comparative account of Pteridophyta ecological statistics of the study area

S. No.	Genus	Species	Ecological analysis		
			Frequency (%)	Density	Abundance
1	<i>Seleginella</i>	<i>biopteria</i>	40	05.40	13.50
2	<i>Equicetum</i>	<i>diffusum</i>	40	03.40	8.50
3	<i>Botrychium</i>	<i>lanuginosum</i>	50	17.80	35.60
4	<i>Dicranopteris</i>	<i>linearis</i>	20	0.90	04.50
5	<i>Lygodium</i>	<i>flexuosum</i>	30	01.70	05.66
6	<i>Microlepia</i>	<i>strigosa</i>	50	03.90	07.80
7	<i>Pteridium</i>	<i>aquilinum</i>	40	03.20	08.00
8	<i>Cheilanthes</i>	<i>bicolor</i>	50	07.50	15.00
		<i>rufa</i>	30	04.40	14.66
9	<i>Pteris</i>	<i>aspercaulis</i>	50	15.20	30.40
		<i>biaurita</i>	30	13.50	45.00
		<i>vittata</i>	50	09.40	18.80
		<i>wallichiana</i>	20	00.30	01.50
10	<i>Onychium</i>	<i>japonicum</i>	10	00.30	03.00
11	<i>Coniogramme</i>	<i>caudata</i>	30	01.20	04.00
12	<i>Gymnopteris</i>	<i>vestita</i>	20	00.40	02.00
13	<i>Asplenium</i>	<i>dalhousiae</i>	40	03.80	09.50
14	<i>Athyrium</i>	<i>davidii</i>	20	01.60	08.00
15	<i>Deparia</i>	<i>japonica</i>	50	09.00	1.00
		<i>boryana</i>	40	05.40	13.50

16	<i>Diplazium</i>	<i>esculentum</i>	50	07.40	14.80
		<i>polypodioides</i>	30	03.60	12.0
17	<i>Christella</i>	<i>arida</i>	50	04.40	88.80
		<i>dentata</i>	30	07.50	15.00
18	<i>Glaphyropteridopsis</i>	<i>erubescens</i>	40	09.00	1.00
19	<i>Dryopteris</i>	<i>chochleata</i>	30	04.40	14.66
		<i>juxtaposita</i>	40	01.60	04.00
		<i>sparsa</i>	30	07.50	15.00
20	<i>Polystichum</i>	<i>lentum</i>	20	3.30	16.50
		<i>squarrosam</i>	50	44.40	88.80
21	<i>Woodwardia</i>	<i>unigemmata</i>	40	04.20	10.50
22	<i>Nephrolepis</i>	<i>auriculata</i>	40	01.60	04.00
23	<i>Arthomeris</i>	<i>wallichiana</i>	50	2.80	5.60
24	<i>Lepisorus</i>	<i>nudis</i>	20	3.30	16.50
25	<i>Micresorium</i>	<i>membranaceum</i>	40	5.70	14.23
26	<i>Pyrrosia</i>	<i>flocculosa</i>	30	2.40	8.00
27	<i>Hypodematum</i>	<i>crenatum</i>	40	2.80	7.00

Fig. 1 show a list of Pteridophyta flora, as well as a comparative account of habitat, distribution, and status. Various types of habitats were recorded, including lithophytes, moist places, mesophyll, forest floor, and epiphytes (Table-1). The family Polypodiaceae dominated in this region, with four genera and four species (Table-1). The main sampling area of our study was Pithoragarh, ranging from 1550 to 1620 metres in elevation (Table-1).

At the highest frequency, *Botrychium lanuginosum*, *Microlepia strigosa*, *Cheilanthes bicolor*, *Pteris aspercaulis*, *Pteris vittata*, *Deparia japonica*, *Diplazium esculentum*, *Christella Arida*, *Polystichum squarrosam*,

and *Arthomeris wallichiana* have the highest frequency with a value of 50 (Table-2). *Pteris aspercaulis* has the highest "density" with a value of 15.20 (Table-2). *Christella arida* has the highest 'Abundance' with a value of 88.80 (Table-2).

The average soil pH was 6.7, organic carbon was 1.923%, nitrogen was 0.406%, phosphorus was 3.40 ppm, and potassium was 31.33 ppm, all recorded at the site of study (Table-3). Pteridophyta of the study area show variation in their niche; that variation shows the adaptive property. Five major types of Pteridophytan habitats were noticed. As shown in (Table-1), the various pteridophytic niches were epiphyte (08%), lithophytes (19%), forest

TABLE-3. Soil analysis of the study area

S. No.	Content	Unit	Observations			
			Spot 1	Spot 2	Spot 3	Average
1	pH of soil	-	6.8	6.2	7.1	6.7
2	organic carbon	%	1.12	2.11	2.54	1.923
3	Nitrogen	%	0.31	0.50	0.41	0.406
4	Phosphorous	ppm	3.2	4.1	2.9	3.40
5	Potassium	ppm	39	24	31	31.33

floor (27%), moist place (30%), and Mesophyll (16%). Most species were noticed as common in all the spots, but *Pteridium aquilinum* and *Christella arida* were the main rare species in the area (Table-1).

Discussion

Due to climatic conditions, the Central Himalayan region is very rich in floral diversity. In our study, a total of 14 families, 24 genera, and 34 species of Pteridophyta were recorded. These findings of our study were supported by various research reports as they found out that the Himalayan region was full of Pteridophyten diversity^{8-10,16,25}.

Diversity and variation in the Pteridophyta species are due to climatic factors, environmental factors, elevational gradients and geographical variation. Other research says that geographical variation³¹, elevational gradients¹⁴, climatic variables along with elevational gradients^{1,11} are the key factors for species richness.

In the soil analysis, the pH of soil, organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium were estimated, analysed and observed by specific methods. In the present study, soil was rich in nutrients for floral growth. The distribution of species is influenced by nutrient

availability as well as climatic factors such as growing season length, humidity, air pressure, and rainfall¹⁸.

The ecological study included various ecological parameter calculations, such as frequency, density, abundance, and determination of habitat niche. Previous studies on fern ecology were also similar to the findings of the present work^{12,14}, which were in support of our findings. Because each species of fern has different microhabitat preferences, they serve as indicators of the conditions they require²⁶. Some species were found to be rare and variation in the habitats of fauna was also noticed. In our study, those were epiphytes, lithophytes, forest floor, moist places, and mesophyll. The Himalayan region is rich in various rare species of fern that occupy various ecological niches^{20,21}.

Conclusions

Pteridophytic plant diversity was abundant in the study area. The area's ever-changing soil environment aided in the development of remarkable floral variety. Various edaphic factors have a positive impact on Pteridophytic fauna in the examined habitat (biotic factor). A few rare species were also noticed along with fern habitat variation and well demarked in the study area.

References

1. Bhattarai KR, Vetaas OR. Variation in plant species richness of different lifeforms along a subtropical elevation gradient in the Himalayas, east Nepal. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. 2003; **12**: 327–340.
2. Bir SS, Vasudeva SM. Ecological & Phytogeographical observation on the Pteridophytic flora of Pachmarhi Hills (Central India). *J. Indian Bot. Soc.* 1972; **15**: 297-304.
3. Chandra S. The Ferns of India (Enumeration, Synonyms & Distribution). International Book Distributors, Dehra Dun, India. 2000; p459.
4. Chandra S, Fraser-Jenkins CR, Kumari A, Srivastava A. A summary of the status of threatened pteridophytes of India. *Taiwania*. 2008; **53**(2) : 170 – 209.



Fig. 1 : All species of Pteridophyta from the study area, numbering of the species according to the sequence in Table-1.

5. Chowdhary NP. The Pteridophytic flora of the Upper Gangetic Plain. Navyug Traders, New Delhi. 1973.
6. Dixit RD, Singh S. Enumeration of the rare, endangered and endemic pteridophytes from Central India. *Indian Fern J.* 2004; **21**: 96-108.
7. Dudani SN, Chandran MDS, Mahesh MK, Ramachandra TV. *Diversity of Pteridophytes of Western Ghats.* Sahyadri E-News Issue-33. 2011.
8. Fraser-Jenkins CR, Gandhi KN, Kholia BS, Benniamia A. An annotated checklist of India Pteridophytes part 1 & part 2 Messrs Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh. 2017-18.
9. Fraser-Jenkins CR, Kandel DR, Pariyar S. Ferns and Fern-allies of Nepal–1. National Herbarium and Plant Laboratories, Department of Plant Resources, Ministry of Forests and Soil Conservation, Kathmandu, Nepal. 2015.
10. Fraser-Jenkins CR. Endemics and pseudo-endemics in relation to the distribution patterns of Indian pteridophytes. *Taiwania.* 2008; **53**(3) : 264 – 292.
11. Grytnes JA. Species richness patterns of vascular plants along seven altitudinal transects in Norway. *Ecography.* 2003; **26** : 291–300.
12. Hemp A. Ecology of the pteridophytes on the southern slopes of Mt. Kilimanjaro I. Altitudinal distribution. *Plant Ecology.* 2002; **159** : 211–239.
13. IUCN. In: Walter, K. S. and H. J. Gillett (eds.), 1997 IUCN Red List of Threatened Plants. IUCN, Switzerland. 1998; pp. 1-18. Also: 1978, 1994, 1998, 2001, 2004, 2006.
14. Kessler M. Elevational gradients in species richness and endemism of selected plant groups in the central Bolivian Andes. *Plant Ecology.* 2000; **149** : 181–193.
15. Kholia BS. Pteridophytic wealth of Sikkim Himalaya; pp. 35–68, in: M.L. Arrawatia & S. Tambe (eds.) biodiversity of Sikkim ferns. Gangtok: Sikkim Biodiversity Board. 2011.
16. Khullar SP. An illustrated Fern Flora of West Himalaya, Vol II. International Book distributors, Dehra Dun, India. 2000: p543.
17. Kjeldhal J. New method for the determination of nitrogen in organic substance, *Zeitschrift fur analytische Chemie.* 22(1): 366-383. Richard (1954). A new method of estimating Potassium, *Journal of the chemical society.* 1883; **77**: 1076- 1080.
18. Korner C. Alpine plant life. Springer Verlag, Berlin. 1999.
19. Kumar A, Kaushik P. Antibacterial effect of *Adinatum capillaris veneris* Linn. *Indian Fern J.* 1999; **16**:72-74.
20. Moran RC. Biogeography of ferns and lycophytes; pp. 369–396, in: C. Haufler & T.A. Ranker (Eds.). The biology and evolution of ferns and lycophytes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2008.
21. Pande HC, Pande PC. An illustrated fern flora of the Kumaon Himalaya 1: 5–9. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun, India. 2003.
22. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Interscience Publisher Inc., New York. 1944.
23. Radhakrishna BP. The Western Ghats of Indian Peninsula. Memoir of Geological Society of India. 2001; **47**: 133-144.
24. Richard. A new method of estimating Potassium, *Journal of the chemical society.* 1954; **77**: 1076- 1080.
25. Shah R, Pande HC. Fern flora of Uttarkashi district, Uttarakhand. *The Indian Forester.* 2010; **136**(6): 717–724.
26. Shaikh SD, Dongare M. The influence of microclimatic conditions on the diversity and richness of some ferns from the North-Western Ghats of Maharashtra. *Indian Fern Journal.* 2009; **26**: 128-131.
27. Singh S, Dixit RD, Sahu TR. Pteridophytic diversity of Sanjay National Park (SIDHI) Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Forester.* 2005; **131**(4) : 574-582.
28. Sinha BK, Shukla BK, Sharma P. Diversity and Distribution of the Pteridophytic flora of Pachmarhi Biosphere reserve, Madhya Pradesh. *J. Econ. Taxon. Bot.* 2007; **31**(1): 40-69.
29. Smith AR, Pryer KM, Schuettpelz E, Korall P, Schneider H, Wolf PG. A classification for extant ferns. *Taxon.* 2006; **55**(3): 705–731.
30. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science.* 1934; **37**: 29-37.
31. Whittaker RJ, Willis KJ, Field R. Scale and species richness: towards a general, Hierarchical theory of species diversity. *Journal of Biogeography.* 2001; **28** : 453–470.